

Community Indicators of Alcohol & Drug Abuse Risk

San Francisco County 2004



Prepared for
California Department of
Alcohol and Drug Programs

Prepared by
Center for Applied Research
Solutions (CARS), Inc.
391 South Lexington Drive, Suite 110
Folsom, CA 95630

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-------|
| Introduction | 1- 4 |
| Community Domain | 5-17 |
| Unemployment | 5 |
| Population Growth | 6 |
| Legal Foreign Immigration | 7 |
| Reported Crimes | 8 |
| Retail Liquor Licenses | 9 |
| Adult Arrests for Drug Related Offenses | 10 |
| Adult Arrests for Driving Under the Influence | 11 |
| Adult Arrests for Alcohol Violations | 12 |
| Alcohol Involved Motor Vehicle Accidents | 13 |
| Alcohol and Drug Treatment Admissions | 14 |
| Hospital Discharges Due to Alcohol and Other Drug Use | 15 |
| HIV/AIDS Incidence | 16 |
| Deaths Due to Alcohol and Other Drug Use | 17 |
| Family Domain | 19-22 |
| Temporary Aid to Needy Families (TANF) | 19 |
| Domestic Violence Calls for Assistance | 20 |
| Emergency Response Dispositions | 21 |
| Children in Foster Care | 22 |
| School Domain | 23-25 |
| High School Dropouts | 23 |
| School Expulsion | 24 |
| Alternative Education Enrollment | 25 |
| Individual/Peer Domain | 27-32 |
| Treatment Admissions Under 18 Years | 27 |
| Juvenile Arrests for Alcohol and Drug Related Offenses | 28 |
| Reported Runaways | 29 |
| Teen Births | 30 |
| Juvenile Law Enforcement Dispositions | 31 |
| Adolescent Suicide | 32 |
| State and County Data Comparisons | 35-51 |
| County Ranking Table | 35-38 |
| Graphic Representation of Three Year Averages | 39-51 |
| Appendices | |
| Appendix A: County Cluster Description | |
| Appendix B: Sources of Indicator Data | |

Introduction

In the mid-1990s the California Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs (ADP) began work on improving the state's prevention information systems. A primary goal of this effort was to develop a management information system for consistently and uniformly documenting a) levels of needs for state substance abuse prevention programming b) the nature and extent of program efforts in prevention implemented throughout the state, and c) the effectiveness of these prevention efforts in obtaining intended outcomes.

A key component of this information system was the continuous collection, monitoring, and reporting of selected community-level indicators that would serve as direct and indirect measures of alcohol and other drug use prevalence and related problems. This information system was designed to assist with statewide prevention planning and policy-making by providing useful, systematic data about prevention needs and related conditions throughout the state.

The present report is a product of this ongoing effort. It has been prepared by the Center for Applied Research Solutions (CARS)—formerly the EMT Group, Inc.—through ADP's Community Prevention Institute (CPI) training and technical assistance contract. The purpose is to provide timely, relevant information on the status of alcohol and other drug use problems in California in order to facilitate planning and monitoring of prevention outcomes at the county level. Specifically, the report may serve as a tool for planners, policy-makers, and practitioners in the field in their efforts to:

- Determine the prevalence of a problem in the community;
- Identify patterns of need for services;
- Forecast service needs;
- Establish appropriate program resource levels;
- Understand environmental influences in the community; and
- Determine whether intended social change is occurring

The report compiles data on 26 community indicators, including measures of risk factors associated with alcohol and other drug use, measures of overall substance use prevalence, and measures of the consequences associated with problem use. Each indicator and its population-based rate is reported in six-year trends with state and county-level comparisons to allow for monitoring of changes in problem status over time and across geographic area.

Introduction (continued)...

How is the information collected?

The information contained in the report was gathered from public records that are maintained and disseminated by eight major state agencies (“archival data”). This reliance on state level data sources ensures that the information reported is uniform across counties and over time (i.e., all counties use the same data collection procedures), and allows for reliable comparisons between counties and the state, and among counties with similar demographic characteristics (“like-counties”). Each agency source contributing to the report is listed in Table I.1.

Table I.1

California Alcohol Beverage Control
California Department of Alcohol & Drug
Programs
California Department of Education
California Department of Health Services
California Highway Patrol
California Department of Finance
California Department of Justice
California Department of Social Services

How were the indicators selected?

The twenty-six indicators contained in the report were selected based on several key criteria, including:

- Validity: How well does the information measure what it is supposed to measure?
- Reliability: Is the data collected in a consistent manner from year-to-year?
- Availability: Is the information accessible in a timely and useable format?
- Appropriateness and relevance: Does the indicator measure risks or outcomes that have an established theoretical or empirical relationship to substance use and related problems?

As the risk and outcome information system continues to evolve and as new and more sophisticated measures become available, the set of indicators may be expanded or modified, and new selection criteria may be added.

How are the indicators organized?

The organization of the report is based on a framework of alcohol and drug abuse risk and protective factors developed by Hawkins and Catalano through their ongoing work in the prevention research field. This framework identifies four major domains of risk for substance abuse and related problems, including:

- **Community factors**, such as the availability of substances, community laws and norms favorable to use, extreme economic deprivation, high rates of transition and mobility and social disorganization;
- **Family factors**, such as family history of substance abuse, poor family management practices, parental drug use and favorable attitudes towards drug use, and family conflict;
- **School factors**, such as academic failure, low commitment to school, school-related problem behaviors;
- **Individual and peer factors**, such as peer rejection, early and persistent problem behavior, alienation and rebelliousness, friends who use drugs, favorable attitudes toward drug use, and early initiation of drug use.

In addition to the four broad domains, indicators are further classified into subdomains, which group measures that are conceptually linked within the same broad domain area. Together, these domains and subdomains provide a logical basis for organizing indicators as they relate to differing prevention strategies and outcomes.

How is the information presented?

The report is designed to serve as a simple, easy-to-use resource for understanding and interpreting community-level data on substance use in California. To facilitate its use, the document contains several basic analytic techniques to assist with data interpretation.

First, in order to make meaningful comparisons between geographic areas that differ in population size, or comparisons between differing time points, each raw indicator has been converted into a population-based rate that describes the event in relation to a standard population size, such as the number of occurrences for every 1,000 people residing in the state or in a given county. Rates are calculated as the number of events divided by the total population size, then multiplied by the population standard (e.g., 1,000). Although rates are intended to facilitate interpretation, it should be noted that in cases where an indicator measures a relatively rare event (e.g., deaths due to alcohol and drug use) rates may be unstable, or prone to wide fluctuations from year to year, particularly when applied to relatively small populations. For this reason, rates measuring rare events or rates for counties with very small population size should be interpreted with caution.

Also for comparative purposes, data is presented at both the county and state level to allow county rates to be evaluated against a relative average. Each indicator is also compared to a three-year average rate for a subset of counties that are considered to be similar in demographic characteristics to the county under consideration (see Appendix A for groupings of “like-counties”). Characteristics that contribute to the classification of “like-counties” include the relative size of the youth population, race/ethnic distribution, poverty status, and proportion of the population living in urban or rural settings.

Introduction (continued)...

For each indicator, counties are also ranked in ascending order based on an average of their three most current years of data. A low rank (e.g., 4th of 58) indicates that the county rate is low relative to other counties in the state and thus, that the population has a low relative level of substance use risk for that indicator.

Throughout the report, information is presented for three to six years of data depending on the availability of the indicator. The trend in rates over time has been analyzed using a simple correlation to determine both the direction of the trend and whether the trend is statistically meaningful (i.e., whether a true relationship exists between time in years and the value of the rate). Trends found to be significant are labeled as increasing or declining, while those that show no statistical importance are considered “undetermined”.

Collectively, these analytic tools will help translate statistical observations and data into a “real world” profile of community conditions related to alcohol and other drug use.

How is the report organized?

The body of the report is organized into two major sections. The first section presents county-level data for each of the twenty-six indicators, organized according to the four major domain areas. The second section presents state and county level comparative data, including geographic depictions of three-year average rates for all counties in California. An Appendix to the document has also been included, which provides descriptions of the eight groupings of “like-counties” derived from cluster analysis and documents the sources of archival data used in the indicator profiles, including information for web access.

Community Domain

Unemployment

Indicator 1.1

Section I:
Community
Domain

Social/
Economic
Stability

Table 1.1.1

Total Unemployed, Total Labor Force and Annual Unemployment Rate

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total Unemployed | 16,700 | 15,300 | 12,800 | 12,100 | 22,800 | 30,900 |
| Total Labor Force | 411,600 | 416,700 | 422,000 | 436,700 | 437,000 | 423,000 |
| Annual Rate | 4.1 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 5.2 | 7.3 |

| Table 1.1.2 2000-2002 Comparisons Three Year Average Rates | |
|--|------------------|
| San Francisco | 5.1 |
| California | 5.7 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 4.3 |
| Statewide Ranking | 21 th |

Exhibit 1.1
Annual Unemployment Rate
Unemployed Persons as a % of Total Labor Force




Table 4.3.3

Annual State & County Comparisons 1997-2002

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| San Francis | 4.1 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 5.2 | 7.3 |
| California | 6.3 | 5.9 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 6.7 |

Source:

CA Health and Welfare Agency,
Employment Development Department
Labor Market Information Division

Data Notes & Limitations

Rate calculations do not include estimates of discouraged workers who are no longer actively seeking employment, unemployed persons who fail to file for benefits, or persons who are underemployed.

Population Growth

Indicator 1.2

Table 1.2.1
Population Growth per Annum (% Change per Year)

| | 1996-97 | 1997-98 | 1998-99 | 1999-00 | 2000-01 | 2001-02 |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total Pop (Year ₁) | 768,200 | 777,400 | 789,500 | 797,200 | 780,800 | 788,200 |
| Total Pop (Year ₂) | 777,400 | 789,500 | 797,200 | 780,800 | 788,200 | 789,800 |
| % Change | 1.20 | 1.56 | 0.98 | -2.06 | 0.98 | 0.20 |

Exhibit 1.2
Population Growth per Annum
(% Change per Year)




Table 1.2.2
2000-2002 Comparisons
Three Year Average Rates

| | |
|--------------------------|------|
| San Francisco | -0.3 |
| California | 1.2 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 1.2 |
| Statewide Ranking | 3rd |

Table 1.2.3
Annual State & County Comparisons
1997-2002

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|---------------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| San Francisco | 1.20 | 1.56 | 0.98 | -2.06 | 0.95 | 0.20 |
| California | 1.77 | 1.63 | 1.62 | 0.09 | 1.77 | 1.83 |

Source:
CA Department of Finance,
Demographic Research Unit

Data Notes & Limitations

The population growth rate measures the increase or decrease in total county population size over a one-year period; the rate does not account for differential rates of growth or decline across individual cities or communities.

Legal Foreign Immigration

Indicator 1.3

Section I:
Community
Domain

Social/
Economic
Stability

Table 1.3.1

Total Legal Immigrants and Immigration Rate per 1000 Population

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total Immigrants | 9,796 | 8,399 | 7,024 | 8,811 | 9,472 | 8,780 |
| Total Population | 777,400 | 789,500 | 766,500 | 780,800 | 788,200 | 789,800 |
| Rate per 1000 | 12.6 | 10.6 | 9.2 | 11.3 | 12.0 | 11.1 |

Exhibit 1.3
Legal Foreign Immigration Rate
per 1000 Population




Table 1.3.2
2000-2002 Comparisons
Three Year Average Rates

| | |
|-----------------------------|------|
| San Francisco | 11.5 |
| California | 7.6 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 8.2 |
| Statewide Ranking | 57th |

Table 1.3.3

Annual State & County Comparisons 1997-2002

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| San Francisco | 12.6 | 10.6 | 9.2 | 11.3 | 12.0 | 11.1 |
| California | 6.1 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 6.4 | 8.2 | 8.2 |

Source:

CA Department of Finance,
Demographic Research Unit

Data Notes & Limitations

The legal foreign immigration rate does not include undocumented aliens, refugees seeking asylum who are waiting for approval of applications, or non-legal aliens approved for temporary residence.

The number of immigrants per county is based on intended destination of residence.

Reported Crimes

Indicator 1.4

Table 1.4.1
Reported Crimes and Rate per 1,000 Population

| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total Crimes | 58,278 | 53,689 | 47,658 | 44,817 | 43,472 | 30,691 |
| Total Population | 768,200 | 777,400 | 789,500 | 797,200 | 787,500 | 789,600 |
| Rate per 1,000 | 75.9 | 69.1 | 60.4 | 56.2 | 55.2 | 38.9 |

Exhibit 1.4
Reported Crime Rate
per 1,000 Population




Table 1.4.2
1999-2001 Comparisons
Three Year Average Rates

| | |
|--------------------------|------|
| San Francisco | 50.1 |
| California | 38.0 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 37.2 |
| Statewide Ranking | 52nd |

Table 1.4.3
Annual State & County Comparisons
1996-2001

| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| San Francisco | 75.9 | 69.1 | 60.4 | 56.2 | 55.2 | 38.9 |
| California | 51.7 | 48.1 | 42.8 | 37.5 | 37.1 | 39.4 |

Source:
CA Department of Justice,
Criminal Justice Statistics Center

Data Notes & Limitations

The crime rate documents the incidence of selected offenses including homicide, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny-theft, and motor vehicle theft.

The reported crime rate tends to understate the total level of criminal victimization due to lack of detection and under reporting among crime.

Retail Liquor Licenses

Indicator 1.5

Section I:
Community
Domain

Alcohol
Availability

Table 1.5.1

Total Retail Liquor Outlets per 100,000 Total Population

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total Liquor Licenses | 3602 | 3593 | 3566 | 3548 | 3537 | 3504 |
| Total Population | 777,400 | 789,500 | 797,200 | 780,800 | 788,200 | 789,800 |
| Annual Rate | 463.3 | 455.1 | 447.3 | 454.4 | 448.7 | 443.7 |

Exhibit 1.5
Total Retail Liquor Outlets
per 100,000 Total Population




Table 1.5.2
2000-2002 Comparisons
Three Year Average Rates

| | |
|--------------------------|-------|
| San Francisco | 448.9 |
| California | 191.6 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 206.4 |
| Statewide Ranking | 49th |

Table 1.5.3

Annual State & County Comparisons 1997-2002

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| San Francisco | 463.3 | 455.1 | 447.3 | 454.4 | 448.7 | 443.7 |
| California | 201.7 | 198.5 | 194.7 | 194.6 | 191.6 | 188.7 |

Data Notes & Limitations

Selected retail establishments may be required to have multiple licenses (i.e., off-sale, on-sale), so that the number of liquor licenses dispensed may exceed the actual number of retail outlets.

Source:

CA Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC)

Adult Arrests for Drug Violations

Indicator 1.6

Table 1.6.1

Adult Arrests for Drug Violations and Rate per 1,000 Population Ages 18-69

| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total Arrests | 9660 | 9290 | 10941 | 10682 | 9916 | 8395 |
| Pop 18-69 Years | 539,800 | 538,800 | 548,700 | 550,000 | 549,900 | 549,500 |
| Rate per 1,000 | 17.9 | 17.2 | 19.9 | 19.4 | 18.0 | 15.3 |

Exhibit 1.8
Adult Arrest Rate for Drug Violations
per 1,000 Population Ages 18-69




Table 1.8.2
1999-2001 Comparisons
Three Year Average Rates

| | |
|--------------------------|------|
| San Francisco | 17.6 |
| California | 10.3 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 10.8 |
| Statewide Ranking | 55th |

Table 1.8.3

Annual State & County Comparisons 1996-2001

| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| San Francisco | 17.9 | 17.2 | 19.9 | 19.4 | 18.0 | 15.3 |
| California | 10.7 | 11.6 | 11.2 | 10.6 | 10.3 | 10.0 |

Source:
CA Department of Justice,
Criminal Justice Statistics Center

Data Notes & Limitations

No adjustment is made for repeat offenders or arrests made on new charges while an arrestee is under an out-warrant.

The nature and volume of arrests may be influenced by changes in law enforcement legislation, police manpower, and patrol procedures, limiting the comparability of data over time and across jurisdictions.

Adult Arrests for Driving- Under-the-Influence

Indicator 1.7

Section I:
Community
Domain

Adult Alcohol
& Other Drug
Use

Table 1.7.1

**Adult Arrests for Driving-Under-the -Influence and Rate per 1,000
Population Ages 18-69**

| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total Arrests | 1482 | 1478 | 1444 | 1244 | 1436 | 1748 |
| Population 18-69 | 539,800 | 538,800 | 548,700 | 550,000 | 549,900 | 549,500 |
| Rate per 1,000 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 3.2 |

Exhibit 1.7
**Adult DUI Arrest Rate
per 1,000 Population Ages 18-69**

| Table 1.7.2 1999-2001 Comparisons Three Year Average Rates | |
|--|-----|
| San Francisco | 2.7 |
| California | 8.4 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 7.3 |
| Statewide Ranking | 1st |




Table 1.7.3

**Annual State & County Comparisons
1996-2001**

| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| San Francisco | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 3.2 |
| California | 9.3 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 8.6 | 8.2 | 8.3 |

Source:

CA Department of Justice,
Criminal Justice Statistics Center

Data Notes & Limitations

No adjustment is made for repeat offenders or arrests made on new charges while an arrestee is under an out-warrant.

The nature and volume of arrests may be influenced by changes in law enforcement legislation, police manpower, and patrol procedures, limiting the comparability of data.

Adult Arrests for Alcohol Violations

Indicator 1.8

Table 1.8.1

**Adult Arrests for Alcohol Violations (Excluding DUI) and Rate per 1,000
Population Ages 18-69**

| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total Arrests | 2142 | 2316 | 3525 | 3675 | 3806 | 747 |
| Pop 18-69 Years | 539,800 | 538,800 | 548,700 | 550,000 | 549,900 | 549,500 |
| Rate per 1,000 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 1.4 |

Exhibit 1.8
**Adult Arrest Rate for Alcohol Violations
per 1,000 Population Ages 18-69**




Table 1.8.2
**1999-2001 Comparisons
Three Year Average Rates**

| | |
|-----------------------------|------|
| San Francisco | 5.0 |
| California | 5.9 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 5.8 |
| Statewide Ranking | 10th |

Table 1.8.3

**Annual State & County Comparisons
1996-2001**

| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| San Francisco | 4.0 | 4.3 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 1.4 |
| California | 6.6 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 5.5 |

Source:
CA Department of Justice,
Criminal Justice Statistics Center

Data Notes & Limitations

No adjustment is made for repeat offenders or arrests made on new charges while an arrestee is under an out-warrant.

The nature and volume of arrests may be influenced by changes in law enforcement legislation, police manpower, and patrol procedures, limiting the comparability of data over time and across jurisdictions.

Alcohol-Involved Motor Vehicle Accidents

Indicator 1.9

Section I:
Community
Domain

Adult Alcohol
& Other Drug
Use

Table 1.9.1

Alcohol-Involved Motor Vehicle Fatal and Injury Accidents and Rate per 100,000 Licensed Drivers

| | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total Accidents | 469 | 460 | 385 | 430 | 417 | 436 |
| Licensed Drivers | 458,100 | 491,100 | 498,200 | 504,100 | 509,506 | 514,778 |
| Rate per 100,000 | 102.4 | 93.7 | 77.3 | 85.3 | 81.8 | 84.7 |

Exhibit 1.9
Alcohol-Involved Accident Rate
per 100,000 Licensed Drivers

| Table 1.9.2 1998-2000 Comparisons Three Year Average Rates | |
|--|------|
| San Francisco | 83.9 |
| California | 98.1 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 84.8 |
| Statewide Ranking | 7th |




Table 1.9.3

Annual State & County Comparisons 1995-2000

| | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|
| San Francisco | 102.4 | 93.7 | 77.3 | 85.3 | 81.8 | 84.7 |
| California | 128.2 | 116.3 | 102.0 | 99.9 | 96.0 | 98.3 |

Data Notes & Limitations

Rates are estimated based on fatal and injury accidents only, excluding all accidents classified as Property Damage Only (PDO).

Rates may underestimate actual occurrence due to under reporting.

Source:

California Highway Patrol (CHP),
Statewide Integrated Traffic Safety Unit (SWITRs)

Adult Alcohol & Drug Treatment Admissions

Indicator 1.10

Table 1.10.1

**Treatment Admissions and Rate per 1,000 Population
18 Years and Over**

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Treatment Admissions | 16,750 | 18,447 | 21,840 | 20,310 | 17,580 | 14,634 |
| Pop 18 Years and Over | 624,349 | 633,469 | 639,010 | 638,755 | 639,502 | 639,657 |
| Rate per 1,000 | 26.8 | 29.1 | 34.2 | 31.8 | 27.5 | 22.9 |

Table 1.10.2
**2000-2002 Comparisons
Three Year Average Rates**

| | |
|--------------------------|------|
| San Francisco | 27.4 |
| California | 8.5 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 9.6 |
| Statewide Ranking | 58th |

Exhibit 1.10
**Adult Treatment Admission Rate
per 1,000 Population 18 Years and Over**




Table 1.10.3

**Annual State & County Comparisons
1997-2002**

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| San Francisco | 26.8 | 29.1 | 34.2 | 31.8 | 27.5 | 22.9 |
| California | 8.4 | 8.6 | 9.1 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 8.7 |

Source:
CA Health and Human Services Agency,
CA Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs

Data Notes & Limitations

Admission rates do not account for the utilization of services provided outside of the publicly-funded alcohol and drug treatment and recovery system.

Admission rates are directly linked to program capacity and treatment demand, and are consequently, less useful as measures of overall prevalence of substance abuse in the general population.

Hospital Discharges for Alcohol & Drug Disorders

Indicator 1.11

Section I:
Community
Domain

Adult Alcohol
& Other Drug
Use

Table 1.11.1

Hospital Discharges for Alcohol & Drug Related Causes and Rate per 100,000 Population

| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total Discharges | 1184 | 1281 | 1237 | 1442 | 1484 |
| Total Population | 768,200 | 777,400 | 789,500 | 797,200 | 780,800 |
| Rate per 100,000 | 154.1 | 164.8 | 156.7 | 180.9 | 190.1 |

Exhibit 1.11
Hospital Discharges for Alcohol & Drug Disorders
and Rate per 100,000 Population

| Table 1.11.2 1998-2000 Comparisons Three Year Average Rates | |
|---|-------|
| San Francisco | 175.9 |
| California | 165.8 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 141.6 |
| Statewide Ranking | 48th |




Table 1.11.3

Annual State & County Comparisons 1996-2000

| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| San Francisco | 154.1 | 164.8 | 156.7 | 180.9 | 190.1 |
| California | 173.1 | 168.9 | 164.4 | 164.2 | 168.7 |

Source:

CA Health & Welfare Agency,
Department of Alcohol & Drug Programs

Data Notes & Limitations

Hospital discharge rates only include discharges for diagnoses directly attributable to alcohol and drug use. The measure excludes cases where the onset of disease may partially attributable to substance use behaviors.

AIDS Incidence

Indicator 1.12

Table 1.12.1
**Total Number of AIDS Cases
and Rate per 100,000 Population**

| | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total AIDS Cases | 687 | 584 | 539 | 488 | 420 |
| Total Population | 789,500 | 797,200 | 780,800 | 788,200 | 789,800 |
| Rate per 100,000 | 87.0 | 73.3 | 69.0 | 63.9 | 53.2 |

Exhibit 1.12
**Total Number of AIDS Cases
and Rate per 100,000 Population**




Table 1.12.2
**2000-2002 Comparisons
Three Year Average Rates**

| | |
|--------------------------|------|
| San Francisco | 61.4 |
| California | 12.0 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 13.7 |
| Statewide Ranking | 58th |

Table 1.12.3
**Annual State & County Comparisons
1998-2002**

| | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| San Francisco | 87.0 | 73.3 | 69.0 | 61.9 | 53.2 |
| California | 12.6 | 11.9 | 12.7 | 11.9 | 11.3 |

Source:
CA Health & Welfare Agency,
Department of Health Services,
Office of AIDS

Data Notes & Limitations

Data was not available for counties with fewer than two reported cases; to allow for rate calculations, a value of one has been substituted for counties with unavailable data.

The number of reported AIDS cases represents the total number of cases caused by both intravenous drug use and other modes of transmission.

Deaths Due to Alcohol & Drug Use

Indicator 1.13

Section I:
Community
Domain

Adult Alcohol
& Other Drug
Use

Table 1.13.1

Deaths Due to Alcohol & Drug Use and Rate per 100,000 Population

| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999* | 2000* |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total Deaths | 550 | 540 | 540 | 280 | 255 |
| Total Population | 768,200 | 777,400 | 789,500 | 797,200 | 780,800 |
| Rate per 100,000 | 71.6 | 69.5 | 68.4 | 35.1 | 32.7 |

Exhibit 1.13
Deaths Due to Alcohol & Drug Use and
Rate per 100,000 Population

| Table 1.13.2 1998-2000 Comparisons Three Year Average Rates | |
|---|------|
| San Francisco | 45.4 |
| California | 26.5 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 25.6 |
| Statewide Ranking | 55th |




Table 1.13.3

Annual State & County Comparisons 1996-2000

| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999* | 2000* |
|---------------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
| San Francisco | 71.6 | 69.5 | 68.4 | 35.1 | 21.7 |
| California | 48.6 | 45.0 | 43.2 | 18.4 | 18.0 |

Source:
CA Health & Welfare Agency,
Department of Alcohol & Drug Programs

Data Notes & Limitations

Mortality rates are often subject to a high degree of variability due to the small number of events used to calculate rates. It is important to use caution when interpreting trends over time and comparisons across small geographic areas.

*Causes of death beginning in 1999 were coded using the Tenth Revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10). This revision includes an expanded number of disease classifications and changes in coding rules for mortality relative to the previous version (ICD-9), producing discontinuities in data trends. Data should be viewed with caution.

Family Domain

Temporary Aid to Needy Families

Indicator 2.1

Section II:
Family
Domain

Family Risk

Table 2.1.1

Total TANF Recipients and % of Total Population Receiving Assistance

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total Recipients | 27,037 | 6,840 | 19,785 | 16,586 | 15,910 | 15,592 |
| Total Population | 777,400 | 789,500 | 797,200 | 480,800 | 788,200 | 789,800 |
| % of Population | 3.5 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 |

Exhibit 2.1
Total TANF Recipients as a % of
Total Population




Table 2.1.2
2000-2002 Comparisons
Three Year Average Rates

| | |
|--------------------------|------|
| San Francisco | 2.0 |
| California | 4.1 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 2.6 |
| Statewide Ranking | 16th |

Table 2.1.3

Annual State & County Comparisons 1997-2002

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| San Francisco | 3.5 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| California | 6.9 | 1.4 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.6 |

Data Notes & Limitations

The Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) program replaces the former Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) cash assistance program. Caseload data prior to 1997 is not comparable to current figures.

The number of persons receiving TANF benefits is estimated using a one-month sample caseload; caseloads may vary from month-to-month within the reporting year.

Source:

CA Health & Welfare Agency,
Department of Social Services,
Statistical Services Bureau

Domestic Violence

Indicator 2.2

Table 2.2.1

Domestic Violence Calls for Assistance and Rate per 100,000 Population Ages 18-69 Years

| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Domestic Violence Calls | 6,429 | 0* | 0* | 0* | 4,985 | 5,410 |
| Pop 18-69 Years | 539,800 | 538,800 | 548,700 | 550,000 | 549,900 | 579,500 |
| Rate per 100,000 | 11.9 | 0.0* | 0.0* | 0.0* | 9.1 | 9.8 |

* Data for 1997-99 is inaccurate due to error in San Francisco County reporting

Exhibit 2.2
Domestic Violence Calls per 100,000
Population 18-69 Years




Table 2.2.2
1999-2001 Comparisons
Three Year Average Rates

| | |
|--------------------------|-----|
| San Francisco | 0** |
| California | 8.7 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 9.2 |
| Statewide Ranking | 0** |

Table 2.2.3

Annual State & County Comparisons 1996-2001

| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| San Francisco | 11.9 | 0.0* | 0.0* | 0.0* | 9.1 | 9.8 |
| California | 10.4 | 10.0 | 9.2 | 8.5 | 8.9 | 8.8 |

Source:
CA Department of Justice,
Criminal Justice Statistics Center

Data Notes & Limitations

Domestic violence calls for assistance may underestimate the actual incidence of family violence due to widespread under reporting.

No adjustment is made for repeated incidents.

Child Abuse

Indicator 2.3

Section II:
Family
Domain

Family
Functioning

Table 2.3.1
**Emergency Response Dispositions per 1000
Population Under 18 Years**

| | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Emergency Response Dispositions | 7383 | 6052 | 6364 | 6119 | 6918 |
| Pop < 18 Years | 156,031 | 158,190 | 153,294 | 154,840 | 155,920 |
| Rate per 1000 | 47.3 | 38.3 | 41.5 | 39.5 | 44.4 |

Exhibit 2.3
**Emergency Response Disposition
Rate per 1000 Population Under 18 Years**




Table 2.3.2
**2000-2002 Comparisons
Three Year Average Rates**

| | |
|--------------------------|------|
| San Francisco | 41.8 |
| California | 68.6 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 59.3 |
| Statewide Ranking | 7th |

Table 2.3.3
**Annual State & County Comparisons
1998-2002**

| | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| San Francisco | 47.3 | 38.3 | 41.5 | 39.5 | 44.4 |
| California | 57.2 | 61.8 | 68.2 | 67.6 | 70.1 |

Source:

CA Health & Welfare Agency,
Department of Social Services,
Statistical Services Bureau

Data Notes & Limitations

The number of dispositions does not include child abuse referrals where information is insufficient and cases can not be substantiated.

No adjustment is made for the repeated incidence of child abuse or neglect within a single family (i.e., multiple reports within a given year).

Children in Foster Care

Indicator 2.4

Table 2.4.1
Foster Care Placements and Rate per 1000 Population Under 18 Years

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|------------------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Foster Care Placements | 2489 | 2614 | 2549 | 2653 | 2411 | 2487 |
| Pop < 18 Years | 153,051 | 15,603 | 158,190 | 153,294 | 154,840 | 155,920 |
| Rate per 1000 | 16.3 | 16.8 | 16.1 | 17.3 | 15.6 | 16.0 |

Exhibit 2.4
Foster Care Placements per 1000 Population Under 18 Years




Table 2.4.2
2000-2002 Comparisons Three Year Average Rates

| | |
|--------------------------|------|
| San Francisco | 16.3 |
| California | 10.3 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 6.0 |
| Statewide Ranking | 49th |

Table 2.4.3
Annual State & County Comparisons 1997-2002

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| San Francisco | 16.3 | 16.8 | 16.1 | 17.3 | 15.6 | 16.0 |
| California | 8.4 | 8.9 | 8.5 | 10.8 | 10.3 | 9.7 |

Source:
CA Health & Welfare Agency,
Department of Social Services,
Statistical Services Bureau

Data Notes & Limitations

The percentage of children living in foster care is estimated using a one-month sample foster care caseload (i.e., point-prevalence) of children living in foster family and group home placements.

School Domain

School Dropouts

Indicator 3.1

Section III:
School
Domain

Academic Risk

Table 3.1.1

Annual High School Dropouts and Rate per 100 Students Enrolled in Grades 9-12

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Total Dropouts | 856 | 1123 | 840 | 792 | 861 | 371 |
| Student Enrollment | 20,067 | 19,831 | 19,776 | 19,915 | 19,853 | 19,800 |
| Dropout Rate | 4.3 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 1.9 |

Exhibit 3.1

Annual High School Dropout Rate per 100 Student Enrolled Grades 9-12

| Table 3.1.2 2000-2002 Comparisons Three Year Average Rates | |
|--|------|
| San Francisco | 3.4 |
| California | 2.8 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 2.4 |
| Statewide Ranking | 51st |




Table 3.1.3

Annual State & County Comparisons 1997-2002

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| San Francisco | 4.3 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 1.9 |
| California | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.7 |

Data Notes & Limitations

Enrollment data for small student populations may vary widely from year to year. It is important to use caution when interpreting trends and comparisons across student populations.

Source:

CA Department of Education,
California Basic Educational Demographics (CBEDS)

School Expulsions

Indicator 3.2

Table 3.2.1

Total Students Recommended for Expulsion and Rate per 1,000 Enrolled Students

| | 2000-01 | 2001-02 |
|-----------------|---------|---------|
| Total Incidents | 308 | 198 |
| Total Enrolled | 61,766 | 60,894 |
| Rate per 1,000 | 5.0 | 3.2 |

Exhibit 3.2
**School Expulsion Rate
per 1,000 Enrolled Students**




Table 3.2.2
**2001-2002 Comparisons
Two Year Average Rates**

| | |
|--------------------------|------|
| San Francisco | 4.1 |
| California | 3.6 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 3.2 |
| Statewide Ranking | 31st |

Table 3.2.3

**Annual State & County
Comparisons
2001-2002**

| | 2000-01 | 2001-02 |
|---------------|---------|---------|
| San Francisco | 5.0 | 3.2 |
| California | 3.6 | 3.6 |

Source:
CA Department of Education

Data Notes & Limitations

The total number of recommended expulsions may be influenced by variations in enforcement and reporting, limiting the comparability of data over time and across districts.

Alternative Education

Indicator 3.3

Section III:
School
Domain

Academic Risk

Table 3.3.1

Total Students in Alternative Education and Rate per 1,000 Enrolled Students

| | 1997-98 | 1998-99 | 1999-00 | 2000-01 | 2001-02 | 2002-03 |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total Placements | 1534 | 1492 | 1839 | 1798 | 636 | 522 |
| Total Enrolled | 61,950 | 62,101 | 62,041 | 61,766 | 60,894 | 59,991 |
| Rate per 1,000 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 |

Exhibit 3.3
**Alternative Education Rate
per 1,000 Enrolled Students**




Table 3.3.3

**Annual State & County Comparisons
1997-2002**

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| San Fran | 2.5 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| California | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 |

Source:
CA Department of Education

Data Notes & Limitations

Enrollment data for small student populations may vary widely from year to year. Its is important to use caution when interpreting trends and comparisons across student populations.

Data excludes magnet schools and independent study.

Individual/Peer Domain

Juvenile Arrests for Alcohol and Drug Offenses

Indicator 4.1

Section IV:
Individual
Domain

Alcohol &
Drug Use

Table 4.1.1

Juvenile Arrests for Alcohol and Drug Offenses and Rate per 1,000 Population Ages 10-17

| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Total Arrests for AOD Offenses | 727 | 693 | 680 | 551 | 459 | 306 |
| Pop 10-17 Years | 49,500 | 49,600 | 57,100 | 58,800 | 61,300 | 65,000 |
| Rate per 1,000 | 14.7 | 14.0 | 11.9 | 9.4 | 7.5 | 4.7 |

Exhibit 4.1
Juvenile Alcohol and Drug Arrest
Rate per 1,000 Population 10-17 Years

| Table 4.1.2 1999-2001 Comparisons Three Year Average Rates | |
|--|-----|
| San Francisco | 7.2 |
| California | 9.1 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 9.9 |
| Statewide Ranking | 5th |




Table 4.1.3

Annual State & County Comparisons 1996-2001

| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| San Francisco | 14.7 | 14.0 | 11.9 | 9.4 | 7.5 | 4.7 |
| California | 10.3 | 10.2 | 10.4 | 10.0 | 8.9 | 8.5 |

Source:

CA Department of Justice,
Criminal Justice Statistics Center

Data Notes & Limitations

No adjustment is made for repeat offenders or arrests made on new charges while an arrestee is under an out-warrant.

The nature and volume of arrests may be influenced by changes in law enforcement legislation, police manpower, and patrol procedures, limiting the comparability of data over time and across jurisdictions.

Adolescent Admissions to Alcohol and Drug Treatment

Indicator 4.2

Table 4.2.1

Adolescent Treatment Admissions and Rate per 1,000 Population Under 18 Years

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Treatment Admissions | 234 | 305 | 453 | 391 | 401 | 467 |
| Pop < 18 Years | 153,051 | 156,031 | 158,190 | 153,294 | 154,840 | 155,920 |
| Rate per 1,000 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 3.0 |

Exhibit 4.2
Treatment Admission Rate per 1,000
Youth Under 18 Years




Table 4.2.2
2000-2002 Comparisons
Three Year Average Rates

| | |
|--------------------------|------|
| San Francisco | 2.7 |
| California | 1.8 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 1.7 |
| Statewide Ranking | 36th |

Table 4.2.3

Annual State & County Comparisons 1997-2002

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| San Francisco | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 3.0 |
| California | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.0 |

Source:
CA Health and Human Services Agency,
CA Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs

Data Notes & Limitations

Admission rates do not account for the utilization of services provided outside of the publicly-funded alcohol and drug treatment and recovery system.

Admission rates are directly linked to program capacity and treatment demand, and are consequently, less useful as measures of overall prevalence of substance abuse in the general population.

Juvenile Criminal Justice Involvement

Indicator 4.3

Section IV:
Individual
Domain

AOD Risk &
Consequences

Table 4.3.1

Law Enforcement Dispositions for All Offenses and Rate per 100,000 Population Ages 10-17

| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
|------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Law Enforcement Dispositions | 4710 | 4185 | 3814 | 3405 | 3069 | 2844 |
| Pop 10-17 Years | 49,500 | 49,600 | 57,100 | 58,800 | 61,300 | 65,000 |
| Rate per 100,000 | 95.2 | 84.4 | 66.8 | 57.9 | 50.1 | 43.8 |

Exhibit 4.3
Law Enforcement Disposition Rate per 100,000
Population 10-17 Years




Table 4.3.2
1999-2001 Comparisons
Three Year Average Rates

| | |
|--------------------------|------|
| San Francisco | 50.6 |
| California | 61.7 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 61.6 |
| Statewide Ranking | 13th |

Table 4.3.3

Annual State & County Comparisons 1996-2001

| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| San Francisco | 95.2 | 84.4 | 66.8 | 57.9 | 50.1 | 43.8 |
| California | 73.6 | 72.4 | 72.6 | 68.4 | 60.7 | 57.4 |

Source:

CA Department of Justice, Law Enforcement
Information Center

Data Notes & Limitations

No adjustment is made for repeat offenders or arrests made on new charges while an arrestee is under an out-warrant.

The nature and volume of arrests may be influenced by changes in law enforcement legislation, police manpower, and patrol procedures, limiting the comparability of data over time and across jurisdictions.

Youth Runaways

Indicator 4.4

Table 4.4.1

Reported Runaways and Rate per 1,000 Population 18 Years and Under

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Reported Runaways | 1977 | 2103 | 1977 | 1603 | 1718 | 1867 |
| Pop < 18 Years | 789,043 | 812,704 | 833,935 | 153,294 | 154,840 | 155,920 |
| Rate per 1,000 | 12.9 | 13.5 | 12.5 | 10.5 | 11.1 | 12.0 |

Exhibit 4.2
Reported Runaway Rate per 100,000
Youth Under Age 18




Table 4.4.2
2000-2002 Comparisons
Three Year Average Rates

| | |
|--------------------------|------|
| San Francisco | 11.2 |
| California | 9.3 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 10.4 |
| Statewide Ranking | 33rd |

Table 4.4.3

Annual State & County Comparisons 1997-2002

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| San Francisco | 12.9 | 13.5 | 12.5 | 10.5 | 11.1 | 12.0 |
| California | 12.4 | 11.1 | 10.0 | 8.3 | 9.1 | 10.4 |

Source:

CA Department of Justice, Law Enforcement Information Center, Missing and Unidentified Persons Unit (MUPS)

Data Notes & Limitations

The reported runaway rate is likely to understate actual incidence due to cases in which no missing persons report is filed with law enforcement agencies; no adjustment is made for habitual runaways.

Births to Teen Mothers

Indicator 4.5

Section IV:
Individual
Domain

AOD Risk &
Consequences

Table 4.5.1

**Births to Teen and Rate per 1000 Female
Population Ages 15-19**

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Teen Births | 584 | 488 | 498 | 456 | 410 | 371 |
| Pop 15-19 Years | 16,612 | 16,970 | 17,120 | 17,290 | 17,506 | 17,995 |
| Rate per 1,000 | 35.2 | 28.8 | 28.5 | 26.4 | 23.4 | 20.6 |

Exhibit 4.5
**Teen Birth Rate per 1000
Population 15-19 Years**




Table 4.5.2
**2000-2002 Comparisons
Three Year Average Rates**

| | |
|-----------------------------|------|
| San Francisco | 23.5 |
| California | 44.8 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 9.2 |
| Statewide Ranking | 7th |

Table 4.5.3

**Annual State & County Comparisons
1997-2002**

| | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| San Francisco | 35.2 | 28.8 | 28.5 | 26.4 | 23.4 | 20.6 |
| California | 56.7 | 53.2 | 50.2 | 47.8 | 45.1 | 41.6 |

Data Notes & Limitations

The teen birth rate measures the number of females ages 15-19 who carry a pregnancy to term; the rate does not reflect the overall incidence of pregnancy in the adolescent female population.

Source:

CA Department of Health Services,
Vital Statistics Section

Adolescent Suicides

Indicator 4.6

Table 4.6.1

Adolescent Suicides and Rate per 100,000 Population Under 18 Years

| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Adolescent Suicides | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Pop < 18 Years | 136,827 | 153,051 | 156,031 | 158,190 | 153,294 | 154,840 |
| Rate per 100,000 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 |

Exhibit 4.6
Adolescent Suicide Rate per 100,000
Youth Under 18 Years




Table 4.6.2
1999-2001 Comparisons
Three Year Average Rates

| | |
|--------------------------|------|
| San Francisco | 0.6 |
| California | 0.9 |
| County Cluster Urban "B" | 0.8 |
| Statewide Ranking | 27th |

Table 4.6.3

Annual State & County Comparisons 1996-2001

| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| San Francisco | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| California | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 |

Source:
CA Department of Health Services, Vital Statistics
Section

Data Notes & Limitations

The suicide rate is subject to a high degree of variability due to the small number of events used to calculate rates. It is important to use caution when interpreting data trends and comparisons across small geographic areas.

State & County Data Comparisons

Table S.1
County Rankings by Indicator for All California Counties
Three-Year Average Rates

| | <i>Community Domain</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | C1.1 | C1.2 | C1.3 | C1.4 | C1.5 | C1.6 | C1.7 | C1.8 | C1.9 | C1.10 | C1.11 | C1.12 | C1.13 |
| Alameda | 17th | 18th | 54th | 54th | 13th | 46th | 2nd | 29th | 9th | 23rd | 51st | 54th | 16th |
| Alpine | 42nd | 32nd | 12th | 58th | 58th | 58th | 58th | 32nd | 58th | 5th | 1st | 1st | 1st |
| Amador | 12th | 45th | 7th | 12th | 48th | 20th | 15th | 15th | 48th | 4th | 18th | 21st | 18th |
| Butte | 36th | 25th | 18th | 36th | 25th | 17th | 23rd | 41st | 25th | 44th | 39th | 23rd | 42nd |
| Calaveras | 29th | 50th | 6th | 21st | 43rd | 28th | 17th | 5th | 53rd | 53rd | 27th | 17th | 33rd |
| Colusa | 57th | 26th | 55th | 13th | 45th | 50th | 56th | 40th | 55th | 2nd | 8th | 2nd | 11th |
| Contra Costa | 8th | 38th | 38th | 44th | 8th | 30th | 9th | 3rd | 2nd | 42nd | 53rd | 46th | 15th |
| Del Norte | 41st | 13th | 9th | 34th | 42nd | 14th | 46th | 58th | 49th | 8th | 33rd | 41st | 57th |
| El Dorado | 13th | 47th | 20th | 5th | 37th | 6th | 28th | 20th | 41st | 28th | 21st | 19th | 21st |
| Fresno | 54th | 33rd | 39th | 57th | 22nd | 43rd | 34th | 44th | 33th | 51st | 37th | 36th | 26th |
| Glenn | 46th | 4th | 34th | 28th | 40th | 36th | 50th | 37th | 28th | 16th | 3rd | 32nd | 5th |
| Humboldt | 27th | 10th | 10th | 48th | 39th | 31st | 47th | 52nd | 39th | 43rd | 47th | 31st | 56th |
| Imperial | 58th | 27th | 52nd | 46th | 20th | 57th | 48th | 11th | 27th | 30th | 19th | 33th | 40th |
| Inyo | 22nd | 9th | 17th | 15th | 53rd | 15th | 55th | 56th | 31st | 22nd | 23rd | 3rd | 53rd |
| Kern | 49th | 41st | 29th | 43rd | 24th | 53rd | 25th | 16th | 23rd | 37th | 29th | 52nd | 44th |
| Kings | 53rd | 36th | 28th | 14th | 6th | 32nd | 37th | 49th | 22nd | 9th | 10th | 27th | 10th |
| Lake | 37th | 51st | 16th | 29th | 41st | 48th | 53rd | 54th | 50th | 49th | 30th | 40th | 58th |
| Lassen | 32nd | 17th | 2nd | 1st | 29th | 1st | 18th | 23rd | 26th | 25th | 2nd | 29th | 8th |
| Los Angeles | 25th | 11th | 53rd | 41st | 2nd | 24th | 5th | 1st | 13th | 17th | 54th | 56th | 20th |
| Madera | 50th | 56th | 36th | 39th | 16th | 12th | 21st | 39th | 52nd | 24th | 5th | 50th | 38th |
| Marin | 1st | 8th | 31st | 10th | 33rd | 2nd | 10th | 22nd | 5th | 38th | 36th | 57th | 17th |
| Mariposa | 28th | 49th | 8th | 26th | 46th | 21st | 33rd | 35th | 45th | 47th | 4th | 4th | 52nd |
| Mendocino | 33rd | 14th | 24th | 18th | 47th | 51st | 43th | 45th | 47th | 45th | 38th | 42nd | 50th |
| Merced | 55th | 42nd | 44th | 47th | 15th | 47th | 41st | 38th | 36th | 20th | 14th | 30th | 29th |
| Modoc | 34th | 1st | 14th | 2nd | 51st | 10th | 45th | 2nd | 51st | 34th | 12th | 20nd | 47th |
| Mono | 23rd | 58th | 23rd | 51st | 56th | 5th | 49th | 31st | 46th | 57th | 17th | 45th | 2nd |
| Monterey | 45th | 34th | 51st | 30th | 31st | 19th | 36th | 30th | 12th | 14th | 31st | 38th | 12th |
| Napa | 7th | 23rd | 30th | 8th | 52nd | 16th | 38th | 26th | 29th | 7th | 58th | 13th | 28th |
| Nevada | 11th | 35th | 11th | 6th | 34th | 4th | 27th | 19th | 32nd | 6th | 22nd | 11th | 32nd |
| Orange | 4th | 30th | 50th | 17th | 5th | 25th | 7th | 9th | 4th | 13th | 50th | 44th | 4th |
| Placer | 9th | 57th | 21st | 23rd | 26th | 18th | 19th | 25th | 15th | 32nd | 56th | 10th | 9th |
| Plumas | 39th | 22nd | 4th | 24th | 55th | 9th | 51st | 53rd | 54th | 29th | 40th | 8th | 22nd |
| Riverside | 24th | 53rd | 37th | 42nd | 3rd | 29th | 13th | 24th | 21st | 19th | 55th | 51st | 39th |

| | <i>Community Domain</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | C1.1 | C1.2 | C1.3 | C1.4 | C1.5 | C1.6 | C1.7 | C1.8 | C1.9 | C1.10 | C1.11 | C1.12 | C1.13 |
| Sacramento | 15th | 44th | 43rd | 53rd | 10th | 39th | 14th | 7th | 19th | 10th | 41st | 47th | 30th |
| San Benito | 40th | 55th | 45th | 25th | 17th | 7th | 30th | 17th | 38th | 1st | 6th | 15th | 13th |
| San Bernardino | 20th | 46th | 33rd | 40th | 1st | 52nd | 16th | 12th | 20th | 27th | 52nd | 37th | 41st |
| San Diego | 6th | 15th | 48th | 32nd | 7th | 37th | 8th | 13th | 18th | 31st | 46th | 55th | 19th |
| San Francisco | 21st | 3rd | 57th | 52nd | 49th | 55th | 1st | 10th | 7th | 58th | 48th | 58th | 55th |
| San Joaquin | 43rd | 43rd | 35th | 56th | 12th | 33rd | 26th | 14th | 37th | 52nd | 32nd | 43rd | 37th |
| San Luis Obispo | 2nd | 37th | 22nd | 22nd | 38th | 13th | 39th | 43rd | 14th | 3rd | 28th | 49th | 36th |
| San Mateo | 3rd | 2nd | 56th | 16th | 23rd | 8th | 6th | 8th | 1st | 33rd | 34th | 34th | 6th |
| Santa Barbara | 10th | 5th | 40th | 11th | 30th | 34th | 32nd | 57th | 8th | 35th | 44th | 28th | 34th |
| Santa Clara | 19th | 6th | 58th | 19th | 11th | 22nd | 3rd | 21st | 3rd | 18th | 25th | 39th | 3rd |
| Santa Cruz | 30th | 16th | 46th | 35th | 27th | 41st | 24th | 51st | 16th | 40th | 24th | 35th | 31st |
| Shasta | 35th | 21st | 3rd | 31st | 36th | 40th | 31st | 33rd | 43rd | 46th | 57th | 9th | 54th |
| Sierra | 38th | 52nd | 13th | 3rd | 57th | 35th | 54th | 46th | 56th | 50th | 7th | 5th | 51st |
| Siskiyou | 44th | 12th | 15th | 9th | 50th | 11th | 40th | 48th | 40th | 26th | 13th | 22nd | 35th |
| Solano | 16th | 20th | 27th | 45th | 4th | 27th | 4th | 18th | 6th | 11th | 16th | 53rd | 7th |
| Sonoma | 5th | 31st | 26th | 27th | 35th | 23rd | 20th | 27th | 24th | 55th | 26th | 48th | 24th |
| Stanislaus | 47th | 48th | 32nd | 55th | 14th | 44th | 12th | 28th | 30th | 41st | 43rd | 25th | 45th |
| Sutter | 52nd | 39th | 47th | 38th | 19th | 26th | 44th | 47th | 11th | 48th | 42nd | 7th | 23rd |
| Tehama | 31st | 19th | 19th | 33rd | 32nd | 45th | 52nd | 42nd | 44th | 56th | 20th | 6th | 43rd |
| Trinity | 48th | 7th | 1st | 4th | 54th | 49th | 57th | 6th | 57th | 36th | 15th | 12th | 48th |
| Tulare | 56th | 29th | 41st | 49th | 21st | 56th | 42bnd | 50th | 35th | 12th | 11th | 14th | 25th |
| Tuolumne | 26th | 40th | 5th | 20th | 44th | 3rd | 29th | 34th | 42nd | 21st | 49th | 16th | 46th |
| Ventura | 18th | 28th | 42nd | 7th | 9th | 42nd | 11th | 4th | 10th | 15th | 45th | 24th | 14th |
| Yolo | 14th | 54th | 49th | 37th | 18th | 38th | 22nd | 36th | 17th | 39th | 9th | 26th | 27th |
| Yuba | 51st | 24th | 25th | 50th | 28th | 54th | 35th | 55th | 34th | 54th | 35th | 18th | 49th |


| | <i>Family Domain</i> | | | | <i>School Domain</i> | | | <i>Individual Domain</i> | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------------|------|------|------|----------------------|------|------|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | F2.1 | F2.2 | F2.3 | F2.4 | S3.1 | S3.2 | S3.3 | I4.1 | I4.2 | I4.3 | I4.4 | I4.5 | I4.6 |
| Alameda | 29th | 25th | 10th | 40th | 38th | 14th | 4th | 9th | 14th | 16th | 26th | 25th | 25th |
| Alpine | 45th | 3rd | 3rd | 58th | 24th | 1st | 36th | 57th | 1st | 46th | 3rd | 11th | 1st |
| Amador | 10th | 27th | 31st | 14th | 4th | 50th | 54th | 23rd | 3rd | 4th | 18th | 14th | 2nd |
| Butte | 52nd | 39th | 53rd | 48th | 44th | 53rd | 17th | 42nd | 4th | 34th | 37th | 29th | 55th |
| Calaveras | 24th | 14th | 48th | 36th | 27th | 36th | 40th | 46th | 37th | 15th | 43rd | 12th | 3rd |
| Colusa | 26th | 35th | 21st | 5th | 6th | 28th | 45th | 47th | 28th | 12th | 2nd | 47th | 4th |
| Contra Costa | 17th | 31st | 17th | 29th | 14th | 6th | 11th | 10th | 26th | 11th | 28th | 18th | 51st |
| Del Norte | 53rd | 57th | 54th | 57th | 46th | 29th | 58th | 36th | 5th | 27th | 35th | 41st | 5th |
| El Dorado | 8th | 22nd | 14th | 11th | 15th | 33rd | 12th | 28th | 54th | 10th | 19th | 6th | 57th |
| Fresno | 55th | 55th | 26th | 43rd | 55th | 49th | 18th | 29th | 24th | 53rd | 52nd | 55th | 44th |
| Glenn | 43rd | 58th | 49th | 25th | 8th | 8th | 42nd | 51st | 53rd | 54th | 5th | 35th | 6th |
| Humboldt | 38th | 24th | 50th | 32nd | 41st | 48th | 55th | 43rd | 19th | 44th | 57th | 24th | 37th |
| Imperial | 54th | 20th | 25th | 27th | 10th | 19th | 43rd | 37th | 43rd | 18th | 22nd | 51st | 47th |
| Inyo | 20th | 34th | 55th | 26th | 7th | 21st | 51th | 19th | 30th | 3rd | 20th | 26th | 7th |
| Kern | 49th | 13th | 41st | 42nd | 47th | 51st | 9th | 26th | 27th | 49th | 45th | 54th | 41st |
| Kings | 39th | 17th | 39th | 24th | 36th | 55th | 23rd | 52nd | 29th | 58th | 48th | 56th | 33rd |
| Lake | 51st | 36th | 52nd | 46th | 40th | 58th | 50th | 33rd | 52nd | 20th | 13th | 39th | 8th |
| Lassen | 33rd | 4th | 40th | 38th | 29th | 10th | 26th | 45th | 58th | 35th | 25th | 19th | 9th |
| Los Angeles | 40th | 40th | 13th | 44th | 54th | 7th | 7th | 3rd | 23rd | 17th | 10th | 42nd | 35th |
| Madera | 48th | 50th | 38th | 19th | 52nd | 52nd | 38th | 12th | 46th | 30th | 46th | 57th | 36th |
| Marin | 2nd | 2nd | 11th | 1st | 2nd | 2nd | 2nd | 39th | 20th | 32nd | 12th | 1st | 28th |
| Mariposa | 25th | 16th | 51st | 33rd | 35th | 56th | 46th | 13th | 38th | 9th | 44th | 16th | 10th |
| Mendocino | 35th | 49th | 56th | 52nd | 33rd | 17th | 53rd | 53rd | 44th | 43rd | 30th | 36th | 54th |
| Merced | 57th | 47th | 37th | 20th | 43rd | 30th | 13th | 35th | 34th | 55th | 49th | 52nd | 48th |
| Modoc | 46th | 23rd | 28th | 50th | 31st | 11th | 22nd | 1st | 48th | 1st | 58th | 10th | 11th |
| Mono | 4th | 54th | 20th | 3rd | 23rd | 44th | 56th | 4th | 16th | 2nd | 1st | 30th | 12th |
| Monterey | 27th | 19th | 9th | 4th | 37th | 12th | 14th | 16th | 2nd | 28th | 8th | 50th | 22nd |
| Napa | 3rd | 7th | 8th | 18th | 1st | 43rd | 37th | 17th | 45th | 6th | 36th | 20th | 43rd |
| Nevada | 7th | 1st | 29th | 15th | 17th | 24th | 57th | 38th | 17th | 36th | 38th | 2nd | 13th |
| Orange | 14th | 21st | 1st | 9th | 22nd | 9th | 24th | 15th | 15th | 19th | 7th | 31st | 29th |
| Placer | 6th | 6th | 36th | 21st | 16th | 3rd | 3rd | 30th | 10th | 22nd | 17th | 3rd | 39th |
| Plumas | 19th | 38th | 44th | 45th | 28th | 35th | 39th | 58th | 57th | 57th | 4th | 8th | 58th |
| Riverside | 30th | 30th | 33rd | 30th | 39th | 45th | 21st | 2nd | 13th | 7th | 31st | 45th | 30th |
| Sacramento | 50th | 44th | 43rd | 55th | 58th | 32nd | 28th | 18th | 12th | 21st | 47th | 38th | 42nd |
| San Benito | 21st | 28th | 18th | 8th | 20th | 26th | 33rd | 25th | 31st | 31st | 40th | 40th | 14th |
| San Bernardino | 44th | 32nd | 27th | 31st | 56th | 46th | 31st | 8th | 18th | 37th | 23rd | 46th | 40th |

| | <i>Family Domain</i> | | | | <i>School Domain</i> | | | <i>Individual Domain</i> | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|------|------|------|----------------------|------|------|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | F2.1 | F2.2 | F2.3 | F2.4 | S3.1 | S3.2 | S3.3 | I4.1 | I4.2 | I4.3 | I4.4 | I4.5 | I4.6 |
| San Diego | 22nd | 46th | 30th | 23rd | 34th | 23rd | 10th | 14th | 40th | 25th | 14th | 33rd | 31st |
| San Francisco | 16th | 18th | 7th | 49th | 51st | 31st | 8th | 5th | 36th | 13th | 33rd | 7th | 27th |
| San Joaquin | 47th | 48th | 24th | 28th | 12th | 39th | 19th | 11th | 9th | 42nd | 50th | 49th | 46th |
| San Luis Obispo | 9th | 5th | 46th | 22nd | 5th | 38th | 47th | 34th | 39th | 8th | 32nd | 5th | 45th |
| San Mateo | 1st | 12th | 2nd | 2nd | 26th | 16th | 1st | 7th | 33rd | 5th | 9th | 13th | 49th |
| Santa Barbara | 18th | 11th | 22nd | 6th | 13th | 27th | 5th | 50th | 50th | 45th | 24th | 37th | 26th |
| Santa Clara | 11th | 10th | 6th | 17th | 19th | 5th | 6th | 22nd | 11th | 24th | 15th | 23rd | 24th |
| Santa Cruz | 12th | 29th | 19th | 12th | 9th | 18th | 52nd | 55th | 49th | 41st | 27th | 27th | 38th |
| Shasta | 41st | 41st | 34th | 41st | 30th | 22nd | 41st | 31st | 35th | 56th | 56th | 32nd | 56th |
| Sierra | 15th | 8th | 4th | 53rd | 32nd | 15th | 48th | 56th | 55th | 52nd | 21st | 4th | 15th |
| Siskiyou | 37th | 37th | 45th | 56th | 48th | 13th | 34th | 41st | 25th | 26th | 11th | 28th | 16th |
| Solano | 23rd | 43rd | 12th | 16th | 21st | 25th | 44th | 20th | 21st | 38th | 41st | 21st | 34th |
| Sonoma | 5th | 26th | 5th | 13th | 25th | 47th | 27th | 49th | 56th | 29th | 29th | 17th | 52nd |
| Stanislaus | 36th | 52nd | 35th | 10th | 57th | 40th | 35th | 24th | 8th | 39th | 34th | 44th | 53rd |
| Sutter | 32nd | 45th | 16th | 34th | 53rd | 42nd | 29th | 6th | 6th | 14th | 39th | 43rd | 50th |
| Tehama | 42nd | 56th | 58th | 47th | 45th | 37th | 32nd | 48th | 51st | 51st | 55th | 48th | 17th |
| Trinity | 34th | 33rd | 57th | 51st | 11th | 20th | 25th | 54th | 42nd | 40th | 6th | 22nd | 18th |
| Tulare | 56th | 42nd | 32nd | 35th | 42nd | 34th | 30th | 21st | 47th | 33rd | 51st | 58th | 23rd |
| Tuolumne | 28th | 9th | 47th | 39th | 3rd | 54th | 20th | 27th | 41st | 47th | 53rd | 9th | 19th |
| Ventura | 13th | 53rd | 15th | 7th | 18th | 4th | 15th | 32nd | 22nd | 48th | 16th | 34th | 21st |
| Yolo | 31st | 15th | 23rd | 37th | 50th | 41st | 16th | 44th | 32nd | 50th | 54th | 15th | 32nd |
| Yuba | 58th | 51st | 42nd | 54th | 49th | 57th | 49th | 40th | 7th | 23rd | 42nd | 53rd | 20th |

Indicator 1.1

Annual Unemployment Rate

Community Domain




Source

CA Health & Welfare Agency,
Employment Development Department,
Labor Force Information Division

Indicator 1.2

Population Growth Per Annum (% Change)

Community Domain




Source

CA Department of Finance,
Demographic Research Unit

Indicator 1.3

Legal Foreign Immigration Rate per 100,000 Population


Community Domain



Indicator 1.4

Reported Crime Rate per 100,000 Population


Community Domain



Indicator 1.5

Retail Alcohol Outlets per 100,000 Population


Community Domain



Indicator 1.6

Adult Arrests for Drug Offenses per 1,000 Population 18-69


Community Domain



Indicator 1.7

Adult Arrests for DUI per 1,000 Population 18-69


Community Domain



Indicator 1.8


Adult Arrests for Alcohol Violations per 1,000 Population 18-69

Community Domain




Indicator 1.9

Alcohol-Involved Motor Vehicle Accidents per 1,000 Drivers
Community Domain



Indicator 1.10


Adult AOD Treatment Admissions per 1,000 Population Over 18
Community Domain



Indicator 1.11

Hospital Discharges for AOD Related Causes per 100,000


Community Domain



Indicator 1.12

AIDS Case Rate per 1,000 Population


Community Domain



Indicator 1.13

Deaths Due to AOD Related Causes per 100,000 Population


Community Domain



Indicator 2.1

TANF Recipients as a % of Total Population


Family Domain



Indicator 2.2

Domestic Violence Calls per 1,000 Population Ages 18-69


Family Domain



Indicator 2.3


Emergency Response Dispositions per 1,000 Population < 18

Family Domain




Indicator 2.4

Foster Care Placements per 1,000 Population Under 18 Years
Family Domain



Indicator 3.1


Annual High School Dropout Rate per 100 Students Enrolled
School Domain



Indicator 3.2

School Expulsions per 1000 Students Enrolled


School Domain



Indicator 3.3

Alternative Education Placements per 1000 Students Enrolled


School Domain



Indicator 4.1

Juvenile Arrests for AOD Offenses per 1,000 Youth Age 10-17


Individual/Peer Domain



Indicator 4.2

Adolescent Treatment Admits per 100,000 Population Under 18


Individual/Peer Domain



Indicator 4.3

Juvenile Law Enforcement Dispositions per 1,000 Under Age 18


Individual/Peer Domain



Indicator 4.4

Reported Runaways per 1,000 Youth Under Age 18


Individual/Peer Domain



Indicator 4.5

Births to Teens per 1,000 Female Population Ages 15-19


Individual/Peer Domain



Indicator 4.6

Adolescent Suicides per 1,000 Population Under Age 18

Individual/Peer Domain



APPENDICES

Appendix A

Listing of County Clusters and Description of Demographic Characteristics

| Cluster | | Description |
|---|---|--|
| Urban “A” | | |
| Fresno Imperial Kings Los Angeles | Merced Monterey Tulare | Largely urban, with small (1%) to moderate (31%) rural populations; above average poverty levels; race/ethnically diverse with prominent Hispanic populations approaching or exceeding a majority in several counties; low educational attainment among residents of most counties (noted exceptions are Los Angeles and Monterey counties); youth populations account for above average percentage of total county population |
| Urban “B” | | |
| Alameda Contra Costa Orange Sacramento San Diego San Francisco | San Mateo Santa Clara Solano Ventura Yolo | Predominantly urban, with zero to eleven percent of total populations living in rural areas; low or average rates of poverty; race/ethnically diverse with largest Black and Asian populations; highest educational attainment on average across county subgroups; youth account for lower than average proportion of total population |
| Urban “C” | | |
| Butte Marin Napa Placer | San Luis Obispo Santa Cruz Sonoma | Largely urban, with small (7%) to moderate (34%) rural populations; lower than average poverty (excluding Butte county); predominantly White, with small (9%) to moderate (26.8%) Hispanic populations and smaller than average Black, Asian, and Native American populations; youth account for lower than average proportion of total population. |
| Urban “D” | | |
| Kern Riverside San Bernardino San Joaquin | Santa Barbara Stanislaus Sutter Yuba | Largely urban, with small (6%) to moderate (28%) rural populations; average to above average poverty rates; race/ethnically diverse with moderate to large Hispanic populations and larger than average Black and Asian populations; low levels of educational attainment among county residents (excluding Santa Barbara county); youth populations account for above average percentage of total county population. |
| Rural “E” | | |
| Colusa Glenn | Madera San Benito | Largely rural, with 48 to 72 percent of the population living outside of urban areas; higher than average poverty rates (excluding San Benito); predominantly White (50.8%) and Hispanic (42.1%), with Blacks, Asians, and Native Americans accounting for less than five percent of the total population; very low levels of educational attainment; youth populations account for above average percentage of total county population. |
| Rural “F” | | |
| El Dorado Humboldt Inyo | Mono Shasta Trinity | Largely rural, with 45 to 72 percent of the population living outside of urban areas; low to above average poverty rates; lower than average levels of educational attainment among most counties; predominantly White (81.7%) with small minority Hispanic (9.3%) and Native American (4.1%) populations; Blacks and Asians account for less than two percent of the total population across counties. |
| Rural “G” | | |
| Amador Del Norte Lake Lassen Mendocino | Modoc Nevada Siskiyou Tehama Tuolumne | Comparable demographic composition to Subgroup 6 with proportionately larger rural populations |
| Rural “H” | | |
| Alpine Calaveras Mariposa | Plumas Sierra | Predominantly rural, with 70 to 100 percent of population living outside of urban areas; race/ethnically homogenous, with small minority Hispanic (7%) and Native American populations (4.8%); Blacks and Asians together account for one percent of the total population; lower than average educational attainment among county residents. |

Appendix B
Data Sources for Community Indicators of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Risk

| Domain | Subdomain | Indicator | Data Source |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| I. Community Domain | Social/Economic Stability | Unemployment | CA Employment Development Department, Monthly Labor Force Data for Counties-Annual Average http://calmis.ca.gov/htmlfile/county/COShtm.HTM |
| | | Population Growth | CA Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, <i>E-2 California County Population Estimates and Components of Change</i> http://www.dof.ca.gov/HTML/DEMOGRAP/repndat.htm |
| | | Legal Foreign Immigration | CA Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, <i>Legal Immigration to California</i> , http://www.dof.ca.gov/HTML/DEMOGRAP/repndat.htm |
| | | Reported Crimes | CA Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, <i>Criminal Justice Profiles: Statewide, Counties, and Cities</i> http://www.caag.state.ca.us/cjsc/pubs/htm |
| | Alcohol Availability | Retail Liquor Licenses | CA Department of Alcohol Beverage Control (ABC), http://www.abc.ca.gov |
| | Adult Alcohol and Other Drug Use | Adult Arrests for Drug Related Offenses | CA Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, <i>Criminal Justice Profiles: Statewide, Counties, and Cities</i> http://www.caag.state.ca.us/cjsc/pubs/htm |
| | | Adult Arrests for Driving Under the Influence | CA Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, <i>Criminal Justice Profiles: Statewide, Counties, and Cities</i> http://www.caag.state.ca.us/cjsc/pubs/htm |
| | | Adult Arrests for Alcohol Violations | CA Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, <i>Criminal Justice Profiles: Statewide, Counties, and Cities</i> http://www.caag.state.ca.us/cjsc/pubs/htm |
| | | Alcohol-Involved Motor Vehicle Accidents | CA Highway Patrol, <i>Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System Annual Report of Fatal & Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions</i> http://chp.ca.gov/htm/publications.html |
| | | Alcohol and Drug Treatment Admissions | CA Health and Human Services Agency, CA Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs; http://www.adp.ca.gov |
| | | Hospital Discharges Due to Alcohol and Other Drug Use | CA Health and Human Services Agency, CA Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs; http://www.adp.ca.gov |
| | | HIV/AIDS Incidence | CA Health and Welfare Agency, CA Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, Office of AIDS-HIV/AIDS Case Registry http://dhs.cahwnet.gov/aids |
| | | Deaths Due to Alcohol and Other Drug Use | CA Health and Human Services Agency, CA Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs; http://www.adp.ca.gov |